

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of Materials Processing Technology
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: PROTEC-D-08-01968R3

Title: Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: mechanical milling; polymer fabric filters; pollution control; catalyst particles

Corresponding Author: Dr. Matthew Cavalli, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of North Dakota

First Author: Matthew Cavalli, Ph.D.

Order of Authors: Matthew Cavalli, Ph.D.; Nathan Lilke

Abstract: This paper details results of an initial study into the feasibility of using the mechanical milling process as a means of embedding catalyst particles into fabric filters for improved pollution control. The specific combinations of catalysts and filters used in the study to demonstrate the method are currently being explored for use in the energy generation industry to help remove elemental mercury from flue gases. Circular fabric samples were placed in a hardened steel milling vial along with a number of PMMA milling balls. Catalyst powder and, in some cases, a solvent (water or 70% isopropyl alcohol) were added and the vial milled for 40 minutes. The fabric samples were then dried and subjected to airflow conditions typical for fabric filters in powerplant baghouses. Weight measurements were used to determine the amount of catalyst retained in the fabric at each stage. Results show that fabric type, number of milling balls used, catalyst powder/fabric mass ratio, particle size and the presence of a solvent can all affect the degree of catalyst loading. The embedded particles adhere well through a number of forward/back pulse cycles simulating airflow conditions in a powerplant baghouse. Modifications are needed to scale such a process to production levels but the current limitations are not insurmountable.

April 16, 2009

Dear Dr. Li:

This letter certifies that the article, "Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters," has not been previously published and is not currently under review for publication elsewhere. It is the result of original work by me and an undergraduate research assistant, Nathan Lilke, on a novel method of impregnating pollution control filters with catalyst particles using mechanical milling. The resulting catalyst-loaded filters have the potential for increasing pollutant control at coal-fired power plants.

Please contact me if you need any additional information. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Matthew N. Cavalli

April 16, 2009

Dear Dr. Li:

The accompanying manuscript has been revised based on comments from reviewers. I have listed the comments below along with my responses.

Reviewer #2:

Please follow the actual reference method of this journal.

After reviewing the reference method from the journal website, I think the citations within the paper itself follow the approved method. However, I have removed the numbered list on the references at the end of the paper. I believe the manuscript should now be in compliance.

I would like to thank you for your willingness to consider the manuscript for publication and the reviewers for their helpful critiques. Please contact me if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Matthew N. Cavalli

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

M.N. Cavalli*¹, N. Lilke²

*Corresponding author

¹University of North Dakota, Department of Mechanical Engineering
243 Centennial Drive
Grand Forks, ND 58202-8359
(701) 777-4389 (p) / (701) 777-2271 (f) / matthewcavalli@mail.und.edu

² University of North Dakota, Department of Electrical Engineering
243 Centennial Drive
Grand Forks, ND 58202-8155

Abstract

This paper details results of an initial study into the feasibility of using the mechanical milling process as a means of embedding catalyst particles into fabric filters for improved pollution control. The specific combinations of catalysts and filters used in the study to demonstrate the method are currently being explored for use in the energy generation industry to help remove elemental mercury from flue gases. Circular fabric samples were placed in a hardened steel milling vial along with a number of PMMA milling balls. Catalyst powder and, in some cases, a solvent (water or 70% isopropyl alcohol) were added and the vial milled for 40 minutes. The fabric samples were then dried and subjected to airflow conditions typical for fabric filters in powerplant baghouses. Weight measurements were used to determine the amount of catalyst retained in the fabric at each stage. Results show that fabric type, number of milling balls used, catalyst powder/fabric mass ratio, particle size and the presence of a solvent can all affect the degree of catalyst loading. The embedded particles adhere well through a number of forward/back pulse cycles simulating

airflow conditions in a powerplant baghouse. Modifications are needed to scale such a process to production levels but the current limitations are not insurmountable.

Keywords: mechanical milling; polymer fabric filters; pollution control; catalyst particles

1. Introduction

In Volume II of its 1997, “Mercury Study Report to Congress,” the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimated that coal-fired boilers produce over 30% of the total mercury emissions in the United States. The EPA reported that this amounts to more than 40 tons of mercury released into the atmosphere each year from coal-fired boilers alone. Worldwide, Hylander and Goodsite (2006) concluded that coal combustion releases between 750 and 1500 tons of mercury per year into the environment. These mercury emissions are of concern for not only those living in the immediate vicinity of coal-fired plants, but for people around the country and across the globe. In Volume III of the 1997 report, the EPA estimated that more than 75% of the elemental mercury emitted in gaseous form from each boiler can travel over 50 km before being deposited. Thus there is a high probability that a single mercury emission source can pollute a wide region of the surrounding environment.

Various technologies have been implemented to control the amount of mercury released into the environment during coal combustion. Pavlish *et al.* (2003) present a summary of the procedures, benefits and drawbacks of available mercury pollution control methods, including sorbent injection, wet scrubbers, fabric filters and coal cleaning. The authors

discuss the effectiveness of each method based on variables such as the specific method of combustion, temperatures of the flue gas stream and the chlorine content of the coal. Due to the variety of chemical species present in the flue gases, the complete path of mercury and the kinetics of its transformations are not well understood. Results from Volume VII of the 1997 EPA report, as well as separate work by Brown *et al.* (2000), have shown that the elemental form of mercury is less readily removed by current technologies, such as wet scrubbing and sorbent injection, than the oxidized species. Brown *et al.* (2000) did find, however, that fabric filters are able to remove a high degree of elemental mercury in the flue gas stream. Thus a possible method for improving total mercury removal rates from flue gas streams is a treatment sequence involving fabric filters and oxidation of elemental mercury followed by wet scrubbing or sorbent injection.

The integration of properly selected catalyst particles into fabric filters may be an effective method of capturing elemental mercury while also oxidizing the remaining mercury in the flue gas stream. Ness *et al.* (1995) achieved effective filter coating for a wide variety of catalysts, gas chemistries and filters using a sol-gel technique. Seames *et al.* (2004) found large variability in the adherence of catalyst particles to different fabric materials using a washcoating process. Glass fiber filters were especially problematic while polymer filters showed much better catalyst retention. A subsequent study by Hrdlicka *et al.* (2008) found that spray coating and dip coating could provide both effective initial loading and good catalyst retention after simulated service in flue gas streams. Park and Kim (2004) showed that catalyst-coated filters can be used not only to treat flue gases at power plants, but also a variety of pollution streams from industrial processes through the appropriate choice of

catalyst chemistry. The use of catalyst-loaded filters has the advantage of possibly reducing overall emissions without significant increases in capital costs. The purpose of the current work is to present an alternative method for catalyst loading of fabric filters—utilizing the mechanical energy of mechanical milling equipment.

2. Methods

Figure 1 shows a typical experimental setup in the current work with milling vial, milling spheres and filter fabric.




Figure 1: Milling vial, milling spheres and filter fabric used in catalyst impregnation testing. A circular sample of filter fabric (~40mm diameter) is placed at the bottom of the hardened steel milling vial. The fabric is milled in one of three conditions, dry, wetted with water, or wetted with 70% isopropyl alcohol. Catalyst powder is placed on the top surface of the fabric layer, milling spheres (9.5 mm diameter PMMA) are added and the vial is sealed. The sealed vial is placed horizontally in the milling machine and milled for 40 minutes. After milling, the fabric sample is dried and weighed to determine the amount of catalyst

loading. The sample is then subjected to repeated air pulses to determine how well the catalyst particles are retained.




Figure 2: Schematic of catalyst impregnation via sphere-particle-fabric impact

Figure 2 shows a schematic representation of the catalyst loading process during milling. Milling spheres with an initial velocity, \vec{V} , impact the container wall. Catalyst particles along the line of impact between the spheres and the wall are forced into the open pores of the fabric filter. The depth of the catalyst penetration into the filter depends on variables including particle size, coefficient of friction between the particle and the fabric, kinetic energy of the milling sphere, and size of the open pores in the filter. In the present work, the variables studied were lubrication condition of the fabric, number of milling balls, fabric type, catalyst type and catalyst size. The study variables were chosen based on preliminary work regarding the most important process parameters.

Milling was accomplished using a SPEX 8000-M mill (SPEX Certiprep). The milling vial is mounted horizontally and cycled in a three-dimensional motion ‘figure-8’ motion. An accelerometer attached to the exterior of the hardened steel milling vial recorded a milling

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

frequency of approximately 17 Hz, or 1020 milling cycles per minute. The number of PMMA milling spheres in the milling vial was either five or ten.

Two different fabric types were investigated: PT001/P84 and RY805. All fabric was provided by GE (formerly BHA Technologies). PT001/P84 is a non-thermoplastic polyimide. RY805 is a blend of Procon and Torcon, two polyphenylene sulphide fibers. Both fabrics are currently being used as industrial pollution control filters.

Two catalysts were used in a variety of particles sizes. The first was Al_2O_3 (Sigma Aldrich) and the second was 5% Pd on Al_2O_3 (Acros Organics). The size ranges tested for Al_2O_3 particles were $< 1 \mu\text{m}$, 10-75 μm , 75-150 μm . The size ranges tested for Pd on Al_2O_3 particles were $< 75 \mu\text{m}$, 75-150 μm , and $< 150 \mu\text{m}$. Pd on Al_2O_3 particles were separated using an electromagnetic sieve shaker (Gilson, Inc.). Al_2O_3 particles in the indicated sizes were purchased separately from Sigma Aldrich.

Catalyst powder was always added in a 1:1 mass ratio with the fabric sample. PT001 samples had a mass of approximately 0.57g and RY805 samples had a mass of approximately 0.5g. Each milling ball had a mass of approximately 0.54g. When solvent (water or alcohol) was added, the volume was kept constant at 2mL. Each sample was milled for 40 minutes. Preliminary experiments by the authors showed that this milling time was sufficient for all samples to reach maximum catalyst loading (some reach maximum loading in as little as 5 minutes depending on the process parameters, most reach it by 20 minutes). Following milling, the samples were heated to 120°C for 1 hour to dry.

Each specimen was then subjected to airflow cycles of 10s @ 275 kPa (forward) and 0.5s @ 550 kPa (backpulse). These airflows and pressures were chosen to simulate those typically seen during forward and backpulse flows in a powerplant baghouse based on a personal conversation with Dr. Michael Mann (2004), a professor of Chemical Engineering at UND and researcher in the area of combustion pollution and pollution control.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 3 shows several darkfield optical micrographs of both pristine and milled fabrics. Figures 3a and 3c show pristine PT001 and RY805, respectively. Both fabrics have a three-dimensional structure with comparable fiber thickness. The overall thickness of the PT001 fabric is approximately twice that of RY805 (2.23 mm versus 1.05 mm). Figure 3b shows PT001 that has been milled with 10-75 μm Al_2O_3 and water. There is a thin layer of fibers in the foreground which have no catalyst particles attached. Interior fibers appear to have clumps of catalyst particles attached to a large percentage of the fiber surfaces. Figure 3d shows RY805 that has been milled with nanometer ($< 1 \mu\text{m}$) Al_2O_3 and 70% isopropyl alcohol. There appears, again, to be a layer of exterior fibers with little attached catalyst. The regions of catalyst in this filter have a much smoother appearance, likely due to the smaller particles sizes being compacted to form each micrometer-sized cluster.




Figure 3: Darkfield images of a) pristine PT001, b) PT001 milled with 10-75 μm Al_2O_3 with water, c) pristine RY805, and d) RY805 milled with nanometer Al_2O_3 and 70% isopropyl alcohol. Note the agglomeration of nanometer Al_2O_3 into micrometer-sized clumps.

3.1 Effect of Process Variables on Catalyst Loading

Table 1 shows the results for initial loading (post-milling) as well as after 5 and 20 backpulse (BP) cycles. The results show that the presence of a solvent can increase the initial catalyst loading as well as the percentage of catalyst retained after several backpulse cycles. With PT001 fabric, the presence of water as a lubricant allowed twice the initial catalyst loading. After 5 backpulse cycles, 94% of the catalyst was retained in the water-lubricated sample versus just 18% for the dry sample. Isopropyl alcohol increased the mass fraction of catalyst retained in RY805 by almost 80% over the water-lubricated sample,

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

even after 20 backpulse cycles. Two possible mechanisms for the increased catalyst loading in the presence of either fluid are 1) decrease in coefficient of friction between the catalyst particles in the presence of the lubricant and 2) generation of high internal pressures in the fabric under impact from the milling balls due to near incompressibility of the liquids. Reduced friction would increase the ease with which catalyst particles can be forced into the filters, allowing them to penetrate deeper into the filters and become locked in place once the lubricant is removed. Higher pressures could enlarge openings between fabric fibers and further facilitate particle penetration.

There is a noticeable difference between the initial catalyst loading in the two fabric types for the smallest catalyst particles ($< 1\mu\text{m}$). The PT001 sample had a catalyst mass fraction of 88% following milling while the RY805 sample has a mass fraction of only 51%. Following 20 backpulse cycles, both fabrics retained more than 97% of their catalyst loading. The ability to retain small catalyst particles is important from the standpoint that catalyst surface area affects how well the catalyst can react with pollutants. For the same particle shape, smaller particles have a higher ratio of surface area to volume. Thus smaller particles could be expected to require less catalyst mass to achieve the same reaction surface area. The increased surface area of smaller particles may not be as meaningful, however, if the particles agglomerate into larger clusters as shown in Figure 3d.

The initial catalyst mass fraction in PT001 decreases with decreasing particle size, but the fraction of catalyst retained after 20 backpulse cycles increases, from 80% for 75-150 μm particles to 98% for $< 1\mu\text{m}$ particles. In contrast, the RY806 retains 97-99% of its catalyst

loading at all particles sizes. The highest initial catalyst loading (96% mass fraction catalyst) for this fabric is achieved with catalyst particles 10-75 μm in size. Larger particles (75-150 μm) show slightly lower initial loading (86% mass fraction) and smaller particles (< 1 μm) show a significantly lower initial loading (51% mass fraction).

It is important to note that the initial catalyst loading achieved using the current process is significantly higher than that of other methods. For example, using RY805 fabric, Hrdlicka *et al.* (2008) achieved catalyst mass fractions between 21 and 24% using a spraycoat method and 17-23% using a double dipcoating method. The current method has achieved in excess of 80% catalyst mass fraction for a variety of process parameters and good catalyst retention during the simulated gas flow testing.

3.2 Dynamics of Catalyst Loading Process

Details about the forces involved in the process were investigated to give additional insight to the results of Table 1. Information about the motion of the vial during the process was captured by attaching a three-axis accelerometer (PCB Electronics) to the outside of an empty steel milling vial and milling for 1 minute. Figure 4 shows the alignment of the accelerometer axes relative to the milling vial and Table 2 shows the resulting acceleration data.




Figure 4: Orientation of accelerometer axes relative to milling vial.

With the filter samples placed at the bottom of the milling vial, catalyst impregnation will be controlled primarily by the acceleration in the Z-direction. Motion of the vial in the other directions will also influence the motion of the milling balls and will affect the impact energy between the milling balls and the filter fabric. However, without a complete model of the three-dimensional milling sphere motion, estimates were made of the impact force of a PMMA ball with the wall of the steel milling vial using the accelerations in the Z-direction.

The radius of the contact area between an elastic sphere and a flat elastic plate has been calculated by Young and Budynas (2002) as:

$$r = 0.721\sqrt[3]{PDC_E} \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where P is the applied contact load, D is the diameter of the undeformed sphere and

$$C_E = \frac{1-\nu_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1-\nu_2^2}{E_2} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

where ν_1 and E_1 are the Poisson's ratio and Young's modulus of material 1 and ν_2 and E_2 are the Poisson's ratio and Young's modulus of material 2. Using the average maximum

acceleration in the Z-direction from Table 2 and an average mass of each PMMA milling ball of 0.54 g, the contact force can be estimated to be 0.19N from Newton's 2nd law of motion. Both the PMMA and the steel milling vial were assumed to be isotropic with the material properties shown in Table 3.

An estimated contact radius of 0.06mm can be calculated from Equation 1. Using a contact force of 0.19N and assuming an evenly distributed force, this would be a contact pressure of about 17 MPa. This calculation, however, assumes that the milling balls are resting against the vial wall as it is accelerated and hence experience the same acceleration. In all likelihood, the balls will be in motion at the time of impact. Impacts will occur primarily when the ball velocity is in the opposite direction to that of the vial, leading to much higher accelerations for the balls (and hence higher forces) than those measured on the outside of the vial. Therefore, 17 MPa is likely a lower bound on the expected contact stresses.

To verify this prediction, pressure sensitive film (Sensor Products) was placed in the bottom of the steel vial (without filter fabric). So that individual contacts could be observed, tests were run for relatively short duration (5 seconds). Tests were run with 2, 5, 10, or 15 PMMA balls. Pressurex High Film, measurement range 49-128 MPa, was used. The manufacturer claims pressure measurements using this film are accurate within 10% over the stated pressure range. Figure 5a shows the resulting pressure pattern from 5 balls and Figure 5b shows the pressure pattern from 15 balls.

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters




Figure 5: Impacts on pressure sensitive film on bare steel vial wall after 5 seconds of milling with a) 5 PMMA balls and b) 15 PMMA balls.

It is apparent from Figure 5 that the milling ball impacts are not uniformly distributed across the base of the milling vial. This is likely due to the nominally horizontal orientation of the milling vial in the mill. Even though the milling motion does subject the vial to significant vertical accelerations (see Table 2), this is not sufficient to evenly distribute the impacts. This was typical of all tests, regardless of the number of balls. Figure 5 also shows that impacts between the milling balls and the base of the milling vial do not result in a uniform stress, caused by differences in the relative velocities of the vial and milling ball from impact to impact. To quantify the range of stresses, analysis of the pressure film data was performed using ImageJ (2008), a freeware image analysis program available from the National Institutes of Health. The color images were first saved as grayscale and then the intensity of each region tabulated by the ImageJ software. Figure 6 shows the results for the film samples shown in Figure 5.

The largest peak in both 6a and 6b (an intensity > 235) corresponds to the white/offwhite regions of the film that experienced no impacts. Table 4 shows the corresponding pressure at several intensity values. These pressures are determined by comparing the exposed film intensity with a calibration chart provided by the film manufacturer.

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters




Figure 6: ImageJ analysis of pressure film results for bare steel with a) 5 PMMA balls and b) 15 PMMA balls.

The area under the intensity curves of Figure 6 can be used to calculate an average impact stress for the film. This is accomplished by counting only intensity values < 235 (corresponding to stresses greater than the minimum recommended value for the film). As a result, this calculation ignores regions of the film that experienced no impact. Figure 7 shows the resulting average stress as a function of the number of milling balls. These results are consistent with the argument that milling impacts are expected to produce contact stresses significantly in excess of 17 MPa. They also show that the average impact stress increases as the number of milling balls increases. The presence of additional milling balls may restrict motion of each ball in the X and Y directions, causing ball motion to be directed more purely along the Z-axis, causing larger accelerations, and forces, with each impact.




Figure 7: Average film impact stress as a function of the number of milling balls for high film on bare steel.

Due to the much lower stiffness of the filter fabric compared with hardened steel, the presence of filter fabric on the base of the vial is expected to greatly reduce the average contact stress during impact. To confirm this, another round of testing was performed using Pressurex Low Film (2-10 MPa) on top of PT001 fabric with 2, 5, 10, or 15 balls. All samples were again milled for 5 seconds. Figures 8a and 8b show the pressure film results for 5 and 15 balls, respectively. Figure 9 shows the corresponding average stress as a function of the number of milling balls.




Figure 8: Impacts on pressure sensitive film on PT001 after 5 seconds of milling with a) 5 PMMA balls and b) 15 PMMA balls.




Figure 9: Average film impact stress as a function of the number of milling balls for low film on PT001.

Once again, the average stress increases with the number of milling balls, supporting the results of dry PT001 with 5 and 10 milling balls from Table 1. It seems likely that an optimum number of milling balls exists above which the contact stresses will decrease as motion in the Z-direction begins to be restricted, as well. Additional testing is needed to confirm this hypothesis.

4. Conclusions

This study has shown that mechanical energy is a viable method for catalyst loading of fabric filters. Specific results of interest include:

- the presence of a solvent greatly increased catalyst loading and retention;
- the average impact stress at the fabric surface increased with the number of milling balls;
- increased average impact stress tended to increase catalyst loading and retention;

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

- the PT001 fabric achieved higher initial loading for the smallest catalyst particles (< 1 μ m) than RY805 but both retained greater than 97% of the catalyst after 20 backpulse cycles;
- the current process can achieve significantly higher catalyst loading and retention than other methods in the open literature.

Additional testing is required to verify the pollution control performance of the catalyst-loaded filters as well as the long-term retention of the catalyst particles. One drawback of the current method is that the distribution of particle impacts over the fiber area was observed to be non-uniform. Significant modifications to the process are also needed to improve throughput to a commercial scale. One option would be to incorporate a continuous fabric feed mechanism, a solvent/catalyst spray head and a pneumatic milling ball delivery system or even nibbed rollers. Careful control of the milling ball energy will prevent fabric degradation and maximize catalyst loading.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank ND EPSCoR for support of Mr. Lilke during the course of the project as well as funds for equipment and supplies. They would also like to thank Drs. Mike Mann and Wayne Seames of the UND Chemical Engineering Department for constructive discussions during the course of the project and Mike Mays of GE (formerly BHA Technologies) for supplying the filter materials used in this study.

References

Brown, T.D., Smith, D.N., O'Dowd, W.J., Hargis, Jr., R.A., 2000. Control of Mercury Emissions from Coal-Fired Power Plants: A Preliminary Cost Assessment and the Next Steps for Accurately Assessing Control Costs. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 65-66, 311-341.

Hrdlicka, J. A., Seames, W.S., Mann, M.D., Muggli, D.S., Horabik, C.A., 2008. Mercury Oxidation in Flue Gas Using Gold and Palladium Catalysts on Fabric Filters. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 42, 6677-6682.

Hylander, L.D., Goodsite, M.E., 2006. Environmental Costs of Mercury Pollution, *Science of the Total Environment*, 368, 352-370.

ImageJ – Image Processing and Analysis in Java, 2008, <http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/>.

Mann, M.D., Personal Communication, August 2004.

“Mercury Study Report to Congress, Volume II,” December 1997, <http://www.epa.gov/oar/mercury.html>, accessed 9/29/04.

“Mercury Study Report to Congress, Volume III,” December 1997, <http://www.epa.gov/oar/mercury.html>, accessed 9/29/04.

“Mercury Study Report to Congress, Volume VII,” December 1997, <http://www.epa.gov/oar/mercury.html>, accessed 9/29/04

Ness, S.R., Dunham, G.E., Weber, G.F., Ludlow, D.K., 1995. SCR catalyst-coated fabric filters for simultaneous NO_x and high-temperature particulate control, *Environmental Progress*, 14, 69-74.

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

Park, O.H., Kim, C.S., 2004. Experimental Study on the Treatment of Volatile Organic Compound Vapors Using a Photoreactor Equipped with Photocatalyst-Coated Fabrics, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 91, 3174-3179.

Pavlish, J.H., Sondreal, E.A., Mann, M.D., Olson, E.S., Galbreath, K.C., Laudal, D.L., Benson, S.A., 2003. Status Review of Mercury Control Options for Coal-Fired Power Plants. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 82, 89-165.

Seames, W., Mann, M.D., Muggli, D.S., Liu, W., 2004. Mercury Oxidation via Catalytic Barrier Filters, Final Report, DOE Contract DE-FG26-02NT41553.

Young, W. C., Budynas, R.G., 2002. *Roark's Formulas for Stress and Strain*, 7th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 702.

Figure Captions

- [1] Darkfield light microscopy images of A) PT001 before milling, B) PT001 milled with 10-75 μm Al_2O_3 in water, C) RY805 before milling, D) RY805 milled with nm Al_2O_3 in 70% isopropyl alcohol.
- [2] Orientation of accelerometer axes relative to milling vial.
- [3] Impacts on pressure sensitive film on bare steel vial wall after 5 seconds of milling with a) 5 PMMA balls and b) 15 PMMA balls.
- [4] ImageJ analysis of pressure film results for bare steel with a) 5 PMMA balls and b) 15 PMMA balls.
- [5] Average film impact stress as a function of the number of milling balls for high film on bare steel.
- [6] Impacts on pressure sensitive film on PT001 after 5 seconds of milling with a) 5 PMMA balls and b) 15 PMMA balls.
- [7] Average film impact stress as a function of the number of milling balls for low film on PT001.

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

Tables

Fabric	Catalyst	Particle Size	Solvent	Milling Balls	Mass Fraction Catalyst:		
					Initial	5 BP	20 BP
PT001	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	< 150 μm	None	5	0.224	0.102	
PT001	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	< 150 μm	None	10	0.548	0.099	
PT001	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	< 150 μm	H ₂ O	10	1.025	0.959	
PT001	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	75-150 μm	H ₂ O	10	1.141	1.083	
PT001	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	< 75 μm	H ₂ O	10	0.945	0.927	0.921
PT001	Al ₂ O ₃	75-150 μm	H ₂ O	10	1.075	0.885	0.858
PT001	Al ₂ O ₃	10-75 μm	H ₂ O	10	0.970	0.869	0.837
PT001	Al ₂ O ₃	< 1 μm	H ₂ O	10	0.884	0.868	0.862
RY805	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	< 75 μm	H ₂ O	10	0.574	0.567	0.561
RY805	Al ₂ O ₃	75-150 μm	H ₂ O	10	0.855	0.846	0.843
RY805	Al ₂ O ₃	10-75 μm	H ₂ O	10	0.958	0.942	0.926
RY805	Al ₂ O ₃	< 1 μm	H ₂ O	10	0.510	0.508	0.506
RY805	Al ₂ O ₃	< 1 μm	70% IA	10	0.903	0.896	0.896

Table 1: Particle loading and retention with forward/backpulse air cycling.

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

	X (Avg Max)	X (RMS)	Y (Avg Max)	Y (RMS)	Z (Avg Max)	Z (RMS)
Acceleration (g's)	11	7	22	15	36	23

Table 2: Acceleration data measured from an empty steel milling vial.

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

	Steel	PMMA
E (MPa)	207,000	2,700
ν	0.29	0.39

Table 3: Material properties for the steel vial and the PMMA milling balls.

Mechanical Milling for Catalyst Loading of Polymer Filters

Intensity	230	210	195	170	150
Pressure (MPa)	56	80	103	123	150

Table 4: Intensity/pressure equivalents for high film.

Figure 1




Figure 1

Figure 2




Figure 2

Figure 3




Figure 3

Figure 4




Figure 4

Figure 5




Figure 5

Figure 6




Figure 6

Figure 7




Figure 7

Figure 8




Figure 8

Figure 9



Figure 9